

The North Carolina Press Association announces a cash prize of \$500 given by Mr. J. H. Separk, of Gastonia, for excellence in the editorial or reportorial departments of North Carolina news papers. Mr. Separk is one of the leading textile manufacturers in the South, an alumnus of Duke, and a member of the board of trustees.

THE CHRONICLE

PUBLISHED EVERY WEDNESDAY MORNING
BY HENRIETTA AND COLLEMAN LUTHERAL SOCIETY

Editor-in-Chief
T. H. Wain, Jr.
Business Manager
L. A. Houshens
Editor
Louis Garrett
Managing Editor
G. H. Tinsley
Contributing Editor
Albert Curtis
Contributing Editor
SPENCER BELL

Associate Editors: Tom Shaw, Jr., H. L. Hester, B. B. Cunningham, T. A. Price, C. B. McIntosh, T. V. Hewitt, John Sikes.
Reporters: David Thomas, M. L. Cahill, Spurgeon Thornton, H. R. Elliott, C. C. Samsay, Edward Johnston, L. V. Chappell, B. C. Morgan, J. L. Gibson, R. B. Morrow.
Special Editors: W. S. Grant, T. A. Bellows.

COVER STAFF
Business Editor: H. C. Clark
Assistant Editor: Helen Chandler and Ellen Huchinson
Printer: McIntosh

Business Staff
Manager: Adelaide Baird, Cecil, Lela Thompson, Adair, L. R. Knox, Curdison.

Business Assistants: L. R. Lagerstedt, W. G. Brookshire, W. D. Lewis, John Weller, W. N. McKenna, Martin Garrett, S. A. Martin, Varnick, H. L. Brown, L. Morris, L. A. Stator, Jr., W. H. Wannerman, Jr.

Subscription \$2.00 per year

Member North Carolina College Press Association

Editorial Office: Hesperian Hall
Business Office: 1111 Jett

Entered as Second Class Mail, September 19th, 1906, at the Post Office at Durham, N. C., under the Act of March 2nd, 1879.

PURLOINED PARAGRAPHS

A Polish reputation is shorter than an American presidential election.—*Philadelphia Record.*

If Mexico can't control the bandits, it ought to welcome any assistance the clergy can render.—*Philadelphia Record.*

North Carolina has no race issue. It remains at the top. In March there were 1233 deaths.—*English News and Observer.*

At this rate a man will be regarded as a back number who has not journeyed to the north pole.—*English News and Observer.*

Non-jurors of New York City are charged with "carrying water on both shoulders" on prohibition. No. the city fathers are carrying leading whiskey, and the county Republican still believe in the constitution.—*English News and Observer.*

PARAGRAPHS

Who's going home?

Well, we not only took most of the herd, but the tar as well.

According to some very vague rumors the freshmen have begun to act like sophomores even before exams.

If it isn't grass, it is something worse. How can a tired collegiate sleep later than seven with so much noise?

No freshmen, filling out a course card do not mean that you are a sophomore. Ask somebody who knows.

Hail! Hail! Hail! The students will soon arrive in scores and with many potential subscribers for next year.

For the sake of diplomacy the staff has decided to dedicate this issue to the seniors, and the commencement issue to the juniors.

Since the happy passage of *The Span* and the return of normality in baseball, y paragraph is as dry as the proverbial Confederate cow on wash day.

The Chronicle staff will be only glad to supply every man in school with a full supply of typewriter paper and light bulbs. However, we request that you come for your supply during office hours.

If freshmen who have bought linen suits lately will read a recent discussion of them in a very large national publication, they will find that they are not very serviceable for plugging in dials.

One alumnus complained recently that he would be afraid to bring his wife to Duke on a visit if he should be thought enough to get one. If this is the first hand information concerning the wild and woolly Duke, he might advertise a reduced rate in divorce that would be very attractive to some people.

According to contract this is the last regular issue of the Chronicle for the college year. The commencement issue will be in the hands of the new staff, and will count as an extra issue in the next volume. The editorial and business staff desire to extend their thanks to the faculty and students for their splendid support this year. The Chronicle has grown from a modest student paper into a real college paper. We hope to improve it due to the amount of ads we have, an increase in the size of the paper will have to be made. Next year we will have either an eight page weekly, or two issues a week of four pages. Materially, The Chronicle will grow hand in hand with the University.

We expect the cooperation of the faculty and students next year. It is by cooperation alone that we can expect to succeed, and we must have a good paper. The policy of the paper will not differ greatly from the policy of this year. We welcome any discussion through our columns of anything. The Chronicle is the voice of Duke students and if the voice is to be audible it must give expression to the problems of students.

We hope that every reader this year will show him confidence in the paper by writing for another year. Arrangements have been made it will allow prompt mailings, anywhere.

SOCIETY PRACTICES

This year, as in other years, members of the senior class are protesting against the exorbitant bills that have been presented for back dues to literary societies. Of course they will have to pay the bills. They were acquainted with the rules and regulations of the societies, or should have been, when they joined. But if this condemnation of society tactics continues, and it will, the time will come when a society cannot get a member. The publicity that results from the howls are more of a nature to encourage men to join.

Men who join societies in their freshman years are termed "volunteers" by sympathetic class mates. Society membership, if it is to be believed current reports, has decreased alarmingly in the past several years until membership of societies represents only a small percentage of the men in college. Some reason can be given for this, surely. Either societies have lost their appeal due to sameness of program, their value is sadly overestimated, or students no longer take any interest in society work. There is some reason for decreased enrollment. One reason which seems plausible is that students will not agree to pay, before they can graduate, a great sum for absence from society.

If societies are conducted for gain, there is a reason for all of the charges made, but if they have ideals, if they desire to promote interest in public speaking, in the study of literature, in more detrimental to their cause than the fee for absence. Men have to think that they must attend society against their wishes, besides, if an interest is to be created in the things for which the society stands, there must be no compulsory attendance. It would have done very well to levy charges for absence two decades ago, but in this age it is impossible to make students appreciate anything that seems compulsory.

WARFARE IN NORTH CAROLINA

No discussion of the recent activities of the committee of One Hundred has been more gratifying, more encouraging than the Open Forum letter of a High Point clergyman in the *Greensboro News* of May 12. It appeared under the caption, "Religion and Education I like Mr. Politics." The writer confined himself to an expression of his personal views. He made it plain that he wanted no publicity, that he would enter in no controversy with anyone. The letter is a reaction to the religious warfare recently declared in North Carolina; an expression of disapproval of both the spirit and methods of the committee of one hundred.

Many ministers have allied themselves to the cause of unduly legislative censorship of education. Men who regard such a move as narrowing, unjust, unchristianlike, and who will not respect the move, will, in a like manner, refuse to respect the clergy. The discrepancy is not due to their unchristianlike attitude but to their disgust at a clergy who, by profession at least, are Christian, yet who have demonstrated in spirit an obviously unchristianlike attitude. Consequently, there is a need for a distinct line of demarcation between the clergy of the Committee of One Hundred and the clergy who were wise enough to stay out. For, in the final analysis the schools will not suffer from the warfare, but the church itself, and so long as the warfare is maintained it must be at the expense of religion rather than education.

The sole need, as the letter expresses, to settle these differences, which we regard largely imaginary, between religion and education is to let religion be religion. To accomplish this, the ultimate goal of both religion and education some medium other than force must be employed. History, from the time of man ably illustrates this principle. And so long as one party resorts to the method which has failed to accomplish its purpose in other crises, this party may as well fall again. The circumscription of anything essential to the growth of man must mean a reaction to this. Education is essential to the growth of man. If education is circumscribed by law, by religion, by anything for that matter, a reaction is sure to follow which will be disastrous to one.

This letter says of the method employed in Charlotte recently: "I respect the earnest desire of many of them (the men who alone so brilliantly in Charlotte) to preserve the faith of our youth. I do not respect their method of going at it. Will their children learn that you cannot think the impulse for human investigation in the search for truth about things? Truth is the only authority that is final." If such an attitude were entertained by all clergy how much more effective both religion and education. How quickly the imagined animosities would vanish. How great the good that would result.

The letter says in concluding: "Would it be unduly to imagine that drop this warlike attitude, and to adopt a sympathetic attitude toward the teachers in our state schools, assuring them of our sympathy with them in their task, and respectfully ask them sympathetic cooperation with us in our great purpose? What would this movement do? For my part I feel sure that we have reason to thank God for our educational systems are as clean and as safe as they are, and confident that the next of our generation will be the most disinterested thing our state ever experienced, and in many ways."

In all probability some bill to prove the popular idea of evolution or any teachings not approved by every Tom, Dick and Harry will be introduced again at the next meeting of the state legislative body. But, the proponents of fundamentalism—we call all those who favor such a more fundamental for the sake of convenience, though in fact the term is not applicable to such an end—should remember that the warfare—if they persist in making warfare of it—will never seriously injure education. The success of such legislation will be a subsequent split between higher education and the church. Men will not, under any circumstances, be content with the ideas of their grandfathers. When religion and life, which are inseparable, are put in opposition will forget any ties that bind it to religion. This is only logical. It can work either way. So, the warfare will never permanently injure education, but it may work havoc with religion.

THE CROW'S NEST

(R. DOWNS)

I do not yet know what sort of an expression the appeal for an expression of student opinion on the part of the prohibition is receiving, and when this is published it will be too late for anything which I may say to have any effect. Judging from the editorial indications, however, the student body is thinking strongly about this public question. And, whether conclusion is reached, the beneficial effects of the vote by the students cannot be over estimated.

The most hopeful trend of thought among the Duke student body this year has been the tendency of a large part of the student body to think about things which do not immediately concern them as school boys, but which immediately concern them as students and citizens of the state. This trend finds its most complete, most practical expression in the activities of the School Council, which has been formed, as the editor of *The Chronicle* expressed it, "to fight the ridiculous in legislation." But it is noticeable in other matters, most recently in the interest which is being taken in the prohibition question. The National Student Federation, which is conducting this year, is to be congratulated upon the manner in which they are doing their work. They are not trying to influence students. They are trying to influence the nation. They are trying to put prohibition, or to influence the result of the vote, as the Y. M. C. A. and the *New Student* did in the World Court. I wish they conducted earlier in the year. The Council has not been overwhelmed by columns of trash by dull preachers and professors, urging us to vote prohibition, as we were before the Y made us vote on the World Court. In short, student policy, as conducted by the National Student Federation, is as valuable and trustworthy as those conducted by the *New Student* and the Y.M.C.A. are prejudiced and worthless.

Normally, I should feel an overwhelming modest reluctance to write such a subject as style letter, but since we have no one else to write spreading it all over the campus, it seems to me imperative that one make a martyr of himself and protest loudly. The demonstration that this was not a one-hour college has proceeded quite far enough, and it seems to me that it's time to take the stuff away. I, for one, have said it long enough.

Recent events tempt me to utter the heresy that the Trustees made a grave error in embarking on the attempt to make a University out of this place. There is the fertilizer, to which I have referred, and there is the law firm to dig the hole. The fertilizer is in the middle of the chapel piers, and finally, there is that steam shovel which means in working manner that the windows I consider that it is not as unkindly evil when the pros give us a cut, but when they attempt to commit suicide.

After all, I suppose that the administration has done as well as it could, but I wish it could do better.

As most of my readers probably have not noticed, the Crow's Nest is supposed to be a column devoted to literary topics. Now the *Durham Herald* also has a column devoted to literary topics, edited by Mr. Joseph Van Rassel, who made his debut with an article on "Sinclair Lewis, Prophet of the Obsolete." Now the difference in my point of view and that of Mr. Van Rassel is so profound that it could prove to all my readers that he is an unqualified and utterly by quoting him without comment. I dare say he could do the same. However, I will not do this. I will only reprint. Or, I could quote the sentence where he refers to "a book like Kipling's *Kim*."

I do, however, desire to introduce my readers to this "prophet of the obsolete." The word "radicals" to enjoy any great deal (name Messrs. Messen and Miller) and without any desire to detract from them, I venture to state that this condition is undesirable. After all, it was Lewis' portrayal in *Bobbit*, but Messen's denunciation in *Proletaria*, that have made Rotarians and Kiwanians the laughing stock of the country. Modern American religion, as a respectable and paying form of literature, dates from *Main Street* in 1920. And I dare say there are many young men, like myself, who think that the fiction of what a novel could, and should be, from reading that book.

Mr. Lewis has gotten himself into the newspaper, and into Mr. Van Rassel's column, incidentally, by declining the Pulitzer prize of \$10,000 for the best novel of the year depicting wholesome American life. The prize was awarded for his novel *American*. Mr. Lewis rightly declined the prize, but because the standard which Mr. Pulitzer laid down for the committee to judge by is moral, not literary, and be-

A REPLY

By FLORENCE TOWNSEND, Duke Law School

It seems that the hedging from the "Crow's Nest" was out on a terrible ramp in the columns of last week's *Chronicle*. He (or she) found out that in shaming away at certain professions, among which was the profession of Law. Since this youthful critic is himself a law student, it is not surprising that he (or she) has listened to a word or two from that quarter. Should he be so much wiser in comprehension—and the *Chronicle* columnist could be nothing else—he may be made to perceive that even in the useless professions against which he directs his invectives, there exists a measure of vitality and intelligence.

Billious criticism is, as we all know, a very popular form of writing today, of least very popular with the college critics who endeavor to use it. To be a critic—a what a gratifying ambition! To sit atop the top of a dead man and thrust your nose at everything and everything—a what a delightful diversion! To snoot around in all the corners of society, looking for vices, and to call them, Ladies, Members and dead smells—a what a satisfying, what an admirable occupation! To point out the parasites in the miserable body of Man, to discover (and mock) his malformations, to slap him for his imperfections, to snigger at his achievements, to sneer his nose at his cowardice, to give advice which he has never been requested? Who are you to kick over what your elders (and the World Court) have constructed?

Who are we? We are the Chosen, the Select, the Superior, the Intelligent, if you please, the Sorners, the Haters of Inventions, the Haters of the Ruthless Wielders of Sarcasm! We happen to belong to the high order of Intellectuals, a fraternity than which there is none more exclusive. We are Nobles, we have no gods, we have no idols (or ideas), we have no use for anything. We bow not to the Sacred and the Holy! We are up and about we are rummaging, we are on the war-path; we intend to abolish everything (not establish nothing), we plan to rule, we wish to invade, to conquer and dispose, to stomp the world to its senses, or to our feet!

It is our weapons—pens! On with our armor—ridiculous! Up with our barbed-articles, columns, essays, criticisms! Mobilize the army, call out the cavalry, attack the enemy! We are the Guards! The drums, bugles, flags, banners! Hail to the Chief—H. L. Messen!

Make way there, you people, you people!

Continued on page three

OPEN FORUM

We have found it advisable to require all writers to sign their names to their columns or initials. We are not sure that the names of our writers are not more than enough to identify them. But we are sure that the names of our writers are not more than enough to identify them.

By A. E. SMITH, Duke Law School

Editor *Chronicle*:—
I have read with the gentleman in the Crow's Nest, from his exalted position of presumptuous omniscience, speeded forth his snarling words and the preachers his foul sneering vomit of undigested Mendenhall.

He tries to be critical, as is his great right. Instead, he gives forth a series of glaring and superficial generalities, with an indeterminate gullibility that would be a credit to W. J. Bryan himself. He makes me say the laws that lawyers make are incomprehensible. So is Darwinism incomprehensible to rabies!

What lawyers are, depends upon public demand. There are many types of lawyers, and among them there are to be found all degrees of integrity and disloyalty. But the crooked lawyer is the dominant type of crook. However much "bait" the lawyer ships, "bait" much he believes his own "bait," he will not let his lawyer who is so ashamed to attempt to pass judgment on matters of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the gentleman in the Crow's Nest. Ignorant of the substance of the law, he is ignorant of the nature of art, of medicine, of theology—when he has made no particular study of them—decide upon them. And yet, there is no lawyer in the world as ignorant of these subjects as is the

FURNITURE
For Homes, Colleges
and Fraternities
We Furnished Duke
University Let Us
Serve You?
Royal & Borden
East Chapel Hill Street

John Ward
Men's Shoes
\$9
On Display by
MR. A. M. SHIMMON
MAY 21
At 102 Jarvis Hall

John Ward
Men's Shoes
\$7

KODAKS

Memory Books
ALL OF THE LATEST
PICTURE

Picture Framing

**DRINK AT OUR SODA
FOUNTAIN**

**Durham Book
& Stationery
Company**
Films, Kodak Albums

**Tourist third cabin
to EUROPE**
With college parties
on famous "O" steamers of
The Royal Mail Line
Write for Illustrated Booklet
The Royal Mail Steam
Packet Company
26 Broadway, New York

**Cornell University
Summer Session
in LAW**

First Term, June 21 to July 23
CONTRACT, Assistant Professor
Whitfield of the Cornell Law
Faculty.
PROPERTY, Mr. Wilcox of the
New York Bar.
SURETYSHIP, Professor Campbell
of the Harvard Law Faculty.
MORTGAGES, Professor Campbell.
TRUSTS, Professor Pratt, Dean
of the Minnesota Law Faculty.
MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONS,
Professor Birdick, Dean of the
Cornell Law Faculty.
PRACTICE, Professor McCaskill
of the Cornell Law Faculty.
Second Term, July 29 to Sept. 3
(CONTRACT, continued.)
AGENCY, Professor Thompson of
the University of Pittsburgh
Law Faculty.
BANKRUPTCY, Assistant Pro-
fessor Law Faculty.
WILLS, Professor Vance of the
INSURANCE, Professor Vance.
Lesser Robinson of the Indiana
University Law Faculty.
PARTNERSHIP, Professor Wil-
son of the Cornell Law Faculty.
CORPORATIONS, Professor Ste-
ven of the Cornell Law Faculty.

Students may begin the study of
law in the summer session.
For catalog, address the
CORNELL LAW SCHOOL
Ithaca, N. Y.

**EXECUTIVES ELECTED
FOR SCHOLA CAVEAT**

L. L. Wall, W. J. Hobbs, and George
Leach on Executive Committee of
Society. Directors Named.

L. L. Wall, of Morganton; W. J.
Hobbs, of Wilmington; and George
Leach, of Washington, N. C. were
chosen as members of the executive com-
mittee of the Schola Caveat, the student or-
ganization here for the advancement of
scholarship and learning, at a recent meet-
ing. This committee, together with the
following board of directors and secretaries,
will constitute the Schola Caveat
officials for next year.

Board of directors include: L. E. Jar-
cherville; W. A. Underwood, Jr.;
Asheboro; John Westbrook, Rocky
Mount; S. D. Bundy, Farmville; Robert
Raberry, Kinston; Sam Davis, Wil-
mington; Stanton Peckins, Albemarle;
Earl P. McFee, Asheville; J. A. Ram-
sey, Martinsville; V. A. George, Holmes;
Walkerston; W. J. Hobbs, Wilmington;
George Leach, Washington, N. C.; Jack
Caldwell, Monroe; Edward L. Cannon,
Washington, D. C.; Spencer Bell, Char-
lotte; Marshall Pickens, Albemarle; Ed-
ward K. Rice, Asheville; C. I. Clark,
Greensboro; Brooke McMillan, Norfolk;
V. A. Frank, Warner; Durham; A. E.
Smith, Hamlet; and J. D. Johnson, Gar-
land.

Corresponding secretaries are L. E.
Jarcherville and E. K. Rice. Exec-
utive secretary: W. A. Underwood,
Jr., Chairman of Judicial committee;
George Leach, Membership committee;
Jack Caldwell, S. D. Bundy and John
Westbrook.

**PASTORS SUMMER SCHOOL
BE HELD HERE JUNE 3-9**

(Continued from page one)

7:18, are the two to precede the Duke
school for pastors.

The school is conducted jointly by the
Methodist and the Presbyterian churches in
cooperation with the general boards of the
Sunday school and missions.

On the board of managers the two con-
ferences are represented by the following:

Rev. M. T. Pyle, Raleigh, president;
Rev. H. H. Jordan, Hickory, vice-presi-
dent; Rev. W. H. Willis, Asheville, sec-
retary; Rev. H. M. North, Kinston,
treasurer; Rev. A. A. Stansbury, Ra-
leigh; Rev. F. S. Love, Elizabeth City;
Rev. J. C. Wooten, New Bern; Rev. W.
W. Peole, Durham; Rev. E. K. McCar-
thy, High Point; Rev. C. M. Pickens, Albe-
marle; and C. H. Ireland, of Greensboro.
The opening exercises of the school
will be held in Craven Hall, June 9, at
8 P. M. Professor Spencer, Dean of the
school will outline the plan and purpose
of the school, and will introduce the fac-
ulty members. Regular classes will be-
gin Thursday, June 10, and will continue
until Wednesday, June 23. Accord-
ing to Dean Spencer there will be no
charges except for board, books, and
railroad fare.

Schools following the North Carolina
method school are: The Alabama school
at Woman's college, Montgomery, June 14-25;
The Tennessee-Memphis school at
Lambuth college, Jackson, June 14-25;
The Missouri school at Central college,
Fayette, June 14-25; The West Virginia
school at Morris-Harvey college, Bar-
boursville, June 14-26.

**SLIDE VIEWS SHOWN
TO CLASSICAL CLUB**

Number of Kodak Scenes and Picture
Views of Rome and Greece Shown
by Dr. Rosenbrough.

A group of slides depicting scenes of
interest in Rome, Greece and Northern
Africa, displayed by Dr. R. H. Rosen-
brough, of the Latin department, and
paper on "The Wooden Horse and Folk
Lore Touching," read by Dr. A. M.
Gates, also of the Latin department,
were the features of the last Classical
Club meeting in the Greek room, Thurs-
day night, May 14.

Dr. Rosenbrough displayed a number
of interesting slides out of his collection
of pictures of various places and things
of interest to Classical students.
Following the exhibition of the slides,
Dr. Gates read a paper based on "The
Wooden Horse and Folk-Lore of Touch-
ing," a recent article by Eugene S. Mc-
Cartney, in the *Classical Journal*. The
paper dealt with the various supersti-
tions concerning touching certain articles in
order to secure good luck. McCartney,
in his article, traced this superstition
back to its early origin, mentioning sev-
eral instances in Classical Literature one
of the most famous being Vergil's
"wooden horse."

Dr. Gates devoted most of his dis-
cussion to modern instances of the fol-
lowing of touching, such as the rabbit foot,
the touch of the hand for acrobatic diseases,
touching on wood, and the touching of
hunchbacks. Biblical instances of miracu-
lous cures by laying of the hand and the
touch of Christ's garments came in
for their share of discussion.

**GRADUATE SCHOOL
GROWING RAPIDLY**

Duke Offers a Number of Gra-
duate Fellowships, Schol-
arships, and Assistantships.

An important factor in increasing the
attendance of graduate students at Duke
has been the assistance afforded by the
fellowships, scholarships, and graduate
assistantships which have been estab-
lished. There are now ten graduate fellow-
ships of the value of six hundred dollars
each, the Angier B. Duke Memorial Fel-
lowship of the value of one thousand
dollars, twelve graduate scholarships of
the value of two hundred dollars, and a
number of graduate assistantships with
compensation ranging from six hundred to
one thousand dollars.

Dr. William H. Glasson, chairman of
the Committee on Graduate Instruction,
took steps this spring to have these fel-
lowships and scholarships such adven-
tured through the country, and the
competition for them was very keen. As
a result well qualified graduate students
are in the attendance next year from
South Carolina, Georgia, Mississippi,
Texas, Wisconsin, Kansas and other
states. This year one of the fellowships
was held by a student from Brazil. It
is evidently in having the fellowships
brought to their attention and in the
resulting correspondence many college
graduates who may become graduate
students at a later date, have been in-
terested in Duke University.

When the Board of Trustees an-
nounced its program for the expansion
of Trinity College into Duke University,
a Graduate School of Arts and Sciences
was named as one of the essential parts
of the University. There is now in process
a new bulletin of "Graduate Instruction"
which will show what encouraging pro-
gress the University has made in this
field.

When President Few first appointed a
Committee on Graduate Instruction in
September, 1916, there were only six
graduate students working for the A. M.
degree. The provision of graduate in-
struction was very meager. During the
year 1925-26 there were during the regu-
lar session from September to June,
and there are about twenty-five candi-
dates for the degree of Master of Arts
at the coming commencement. The
growth of the Duke Summer School has
also enabled a variety of courses for
graduate students to be offered in many
departments. In the first term of the
1925 Summer School were 103 graduate
students and in the second term 208. The
total number of graduate registrations
for the whole year was 298.

The provision of graduate work dur-
ing the year 1926-27 will be far more
extensive than ever before. Forty-seven
members of the Faculty will offer one
or more courses for graduate credit.
Already the names of many new teachers
who will give graduate courses have
been announced. Among them are
Professors A. S. Pearce and F. G. Hall
in the Department of Zoology, Professor
Chas. Vahlner in the Department of
German, Professor E. W. Nelson in the
Department of History, Professor H. K.
Miller in the Department of Chemistry,
Professor W. W. Rankin in the Depart-
ment of Mathematics, and Professor H.
E. Myers in the Department of Religion.
Professor P. N. Garber, who has been
transferred from the Department of His-
tory to the Department of Religion,
will offer new courses in Church History.

**LOCAL HONORARY FRATERNITY
GETS NATIONAL O. D. K.**

(Continued from page one)

interest, and third, to bring together
members of the faculty and student body
on a basis of mutual interest and under-
standing.

Omicron Delta Kappa was founded at
Washington and Lee on December 3,
1914, to meet the needs of a national
honorary fraternity which would include
outgoing leadership in any and all branch-
es of college activity. Since the organiza-
tion of this fraternity membership has
been guarded jealously by both the local
clubs and the national organization.

There are fourteen active chapters of
the national fraternity. The only chapter
in North Carolina is located at Dav-
ids college. Other chapters are in
Illinois at Johns Hopkins university,
University of Pittsburgh, University of Ala-
bama, University of Kentucky, Lehigh
university, Case college and at other
notably known institutions.

Members of Omicron Delta Kappa are: M.
L. Pickens, Albemarle, president; John
Westbrook, Rocky Mount, secretary; D.
E. Kirkpatrick, Sevensville, treasurer;
J. M. Atkins, Gastonia; G. B. Caldwell,
Monroe; E. L. Cannon, Washington, D.
C.; Frank Craven, Durham; W. B.
Bickney, Member; G. P. Harris, Albe-
marle; H. B. Johnson, Matfreesboro,
Tennessee; C. W. Porter, Asheville; J. E.
Thompson, Holbrook; Dr. Frank Walker-
ston, Durham. Faculty members are Dr.
W. P. Few and Dr. E. D. Soper, mem-
bers of Omicron Delta Kappa at Duke
are: F. C. Brown, Coach Anna Dellert,
and Coaches Cameron and Tilton.

**The Class of '26 Will Be
Graduated Soon--Then?**

By R. H. EMMAN

Approximately 163 seniors, about forty
of whom are co-eds, will receive their
diplomas in June. A sort of intangible
pulling-back of joy, half of indecision
and half of the parting of the ways--
encompasses these men and women who
will soon leave forever the school that
has harbored them and nourished them
for the last four years. True as it is,
the expression, "scattered as autumn
leaves," best describes the inevitable
dispersion of the members of the present
graduating class, for never again after the close of
the present term will the Class of '26 be re-
assembled in its entirety. Yet such fact
thoughts arise only in the minds of those
who are sentimentally and emotionally
contemplative and belong only to
weak dreamers over whom a surge
of sadness wells at the realization that
such terms as "gone forever" and "never
again" will soon be used in referring
to their college days.

It is interesting to observe that, al-
though Duke University is by no means
limited to the State in its scope and ap-
peal, 91 per cent. of the seniors are
residents of North Carolina. Only seven
states, not including North Carolina
and the District of Columbia, and two
foreign countries, China and Japan, are
represented by the members of the Class.
Only one man of the Senior class gives
his home address as being above Mason
and Dixon's line.

What places will these young people
take in the world? Of the men, hardly
half of them know what lines of endeavor
they will follow. But this much is
certain: not a one of them will grasp
the handles of a plough; not a one of
them will lay brick, or make fifteen or
twenty dollars a day by becoming a
fancy plasterer; not a one of them will
know the thrill of engineering a thun-
dering express down a three-mile grade;
not a one of them will learn the dif-
ference between the top of the mast and
the main; and feel the rolling of heavy
seas under a stout keel. What will they
do? Oh, many a good man among them
will waste a year or so in trying, or at
least apparently trying, to teach in a
small town high school until something
better turns up. As makeshift teachers,
they will only waste their time but also
generally lower the standards of the
public profession of teaching. But there
are others, probably the apparently

dimwitted--quite a number--who by the
breaks of fortune, "papa's pull" and by
a little hard work (maybe) will some
day become rich and influential--and
thereby disregard almost all of their be-
loved alma mater--by selling rotten
bet or becoming congressmen by sling-
ing more mud on their opponents than
their opponents could sling on them.
Yes, surely the Class of '26 will have its
share of great men.

And the women? Yes, they will need
work too, for real statistics say that their
chances for winning a husband are
about thirty per cent. less than their
undergraduate and non-collegiate sisters.
Some energetic person has com-
piled under the caption, *Vacations for
Women*, a list of about 182 positions.
We advise the young women to read over
this list, then decide to teach school,
not forgetting, however, Herrick's
sound advice *To the Virgin, to Make
Much of Time*. "Gather ye rosebuds
while ye may, old Time is still a-flying."

**DEAN HERRING TALKS
AT VESPERS SUNDAY**

Urges Students to Show Outside World
That College Education Does
Pay, Good Crowd

Mr. H. J. Herring, dean of the under-
graduates, delivered a very inspiring ad-
dress to a medium-sized audience at
Vespers last Sunday afternoon. Mr. Her-
ring touched upon several important mat-
ters that directly concern college stu-
dents. He pointed out that, upon returning
to their respective homes for the summer,
to live the life of the highest type of
law abiding citizen so that no stain might
be brought upon higher education. When
handing upon religion, Mr. Herring said
that a person should above all things
take a hand in matters of religion, mak-
ing the statement that, "I would rather
see an atheist or an agnostic than a
man who is 'religiously'--who has no
moral convictions."

**The Woodwork
Of a University**

When the expansion of Duke University
began Cary Lumber Company was called
on to supply the lumber and woodwork.

The lumber and woodwork had to be good.

Cary Lumber Co.

Established 1894
DURHAM, N. C.

**P. A. throws
pipe-peeves
for a loss**



AND the bigger they are, the harder they fall,
as Shakespeare or somebody said. You can
prove this beyond question with a jimmy-pipe
and a tidy red tin of Prince Albert. Any time.
Anywhere. As a matter of fact, tackling pipe-
grouches is P. A.'s regular business.

Cool and sweet and fragrant, P. A.'s wonder-
ful smoke comes curling up the pipe-stem,
filling your system with a new brand of pipe-
pleasure. You smoke--and smile! For the first
time in your life, you've found the one tobacco
that scales to your blueprint of bliss.

Slow or fast, no matter how you feed it, P. A.
never bites your tongue or parches your throat.
Those important items were taken care of in
the original plans by the Prince Albert process.
Get yourself a tidy red tin of this friendly tobacco
today.

PRINCE ALBERT

—no other tobacco is like it!



© 1916, R. E. Barnhill-Paterson
Company, Winston-Salem, N. C.

SPORTS WAKE FOREST LICKED BY DUKE HARRIERS

BLUE DEVILS WIN EASY SLUGGEST FROM CAROLINA

W. S. GRANT
SPORT EDITOR

SPORT LIGHTS

By "TAR"

We licked, we licked, we licked Carolina.

At last we got the chance to take the "shirt-tail" parade down main street and march through the Orpheum.

As such a game, it wouldn't take much isolation here saying that this was about the worst that the Tar Heels have been beaten in a long time. We admit that it is sometimes hard to believe that the Blue Devils scored 17 runs against Carolina.

No, we won't say the "Hitless Wonder" this time, for everyone who saw the game knows how four Tar Heel pitchers were pounded to all corners of the lot.

To start it all off, the renowned John Harry Sikes slammed out a homer over the center field fence. Then came Joe Thompson, and in two times up he hit two balls over the same fence.

His pal—Twenty-nine safeties in all, with Blue Devils getting the big share of credit. Thompson four out of ten times up. Pearce four out of six as many chances, and so down the line. Saunders played wonderful ball for the winners, for his fielding was sensational, to say the least.

There's no doubt but that the Carolina fans have received quite a set-back. And the victory was in the same field too, what a wonder 7-17-2.

Well, Wake Forest clinched the State Championship last Saturday when they shut State College out by a 5 to 0 count. It seems that the Baptist Institution can boast of more than one good soundman. Scored most times by Duke.

There's no doubt that the Deacon line deserves the State honors. And it seems that it is universal opinion that this Baptist aggregation is the strongest in the State. The only thing which sounds strange about the Wake Forest score is that they were beaten by Davidson, a team which was defeated by Duke twice and it is no secret that this same Duke team only scored in three contests against the Champions.

The Baptists have just piled up another championship to their credit—that's all—

The Tar Heel track men came through in fine style in the Southern Conference. At Chapel Hill last week. Although the runs were slowed up some by a slow track, there were several new Southern records.

There is no doubt but that the sensation of the meet was the running of the lucky Carolina Miller, Elliott. He came through this gruelling 500 yd. in a time four seconds better than the time set by the mighty Bell, of last season's fame. His time was 4 minutes and 21.2 seconds.

Chenoweth sent three men up to the meet, and these three men came in for three first places, two of them setting Southern records. Ray ran the 440 yard dash in 48.2 second, while Newman stepped the half-mile in 1 minute 8.5 seconds.

McDowell, of State College, came in for some of the honors when he leaped over the bar and took the high jump at 5 feet and 10 1/2 inches. Watt, of Carolina, also set a new record in the low hurdles.

Orientation Course GIVEN AT SOUTHWATE

"Journalism" was the subject of the orientation lecture delivered Thursday afternoon at Southgate by Mrs. Henry Highsmith, prominent club woman of the state and alumni of the University. Mrs. Highsmith who widespread recognition through recent years ago in connection with her work on the publicity committee of the State Board of Health.

This is the last of a series of excellent lectures delivered in the orientation course, which was offered to the women by the University with the University of Southern Women's Educational Alliance in an experiment and will likely be offered at many colleges in the near future. Among the lecturers were Miss Virginia De Mele and Catherine Alston, of the Alliance; Dr. W. G. Gasson and Professor Hargrove, of the university; Miss Blanche Barringer '22; Mrs. J. J. McKinnon; Dr. Della Dixon Carroll, Miss Heinsberger; Miss Emmet Tuttle; Miss Zerkman, interior decorator from Raleigh and Bordere; Raleigh; and Mrs. Henry Highsmith.

CAROLINA TAKES BATTING CONTEST FROM DUKE MEN

Duke Uses Three Hurlers and Gathers 12 Hits Off

BROWN STARS AT SHORT

The Contest, Won by One Run Ram, Clinches Three Game Series For Carolina.

Carolina defeated Duke last Thursday on Emerson Field by the score of 8 to 7 thereby winning the second game, and clinching the three game series between the two teams.

Heavy hitting marked the counter-attack, Duke using three twirlers, while the Tar Heel suffered a barrage of triple hits. Carolina gathered a six run lead in the first three innings which they held until the sixth when the Blue Devils scored five runs after two men were out. The Methodists managed to hold the advantage until the eighth when Carolina crossed the platter twice and hit out the game.

Carroll, Thomas, Green, McDavis, and Pearce each palmed a homer, but the outstanding star got the victory, fielding well and smacking a ball for two triples. Burton's sensational catch for the home team was also a feature of the game.

Score by innings: AB R H PO A E
Carroll, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

FROSH RALLY IN EIGHTH TO WIN

Little Deacons Unable To Stop Slugging of Blue Imps and Lose: 5 to 3.

By only in the eighth inning the Blue Imps broke the Wake Forest record for this year, when they trounced Gibson, Wake Forest soundman, for five hits and won by the score of 5 to 3.

After looking by a couple of tallies for seven and a half innings, the Little Deacons blew up. Gibson, although twirling brilliantly until the fifth eighth, was dropped on last when his arm went bad.

An attempted comeback in the ninth by the young Baptists fell short, and the score ended with Duke in the lead.

Wake Forest scored three runs in the third and Duke attempted to even it up, but the best the Methodist freshmen could do was tally. Adams scored after getting a triple, being brought in by Burton.

Moss Wake Forest first sacker, was the outstanding star got the victory, fielding well and smacking a ball for two triples. Burton's sensational catch for the home team was also a feature of the game.

Score by innings: AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

Totals 35 5 27 9 4 2
Wake Forest - AB R H PO A E
McCarthy, cf 4 1 1 2 0 0
Rowe, 3b 4 0 2 3 1 0
Wyrick, 1b 4 0 2 3 0 0
Adams, 2b 4 0 1 0 0 0
Horton, if 3 0 2 0 0 0
Wyrick, cf 4 0 2 2 0 0
Cabrille, ss 2 1 1 0 0 0
Light, p 3 1 1 0 2 0

DUKE CINDERPATH MEN VICTORS IN LAST MEET 84-42

Duke Takes 9 First Places In Last Meet of the Season With Wake Forest.

DANIELS IS HIGH SCORER

Zimmerman, Tuttle, and Aycock Are Shining Lights For Duke Trackmen.

Running in their last meet of the year the Duke varsity track team defeated the Wake Forest cinder path men by a score of 84 to 42. The Duke team took nine first places, and in the shot put secured for all points.

Owing to the slow track there was no good time made, except in the hurdles and in the two twenty yard dashes. Daniels of Wake Forest was the high scorer of the meet, taking three first places, and he was pushed hard by Hamlin, of Duke in both hurdle events and Aycock of Duke, placed a close second in the broad jump to the Duke record at 21 inches.

Zimmerman and Tuttle were high scorers for Duke, Zimmerman taking first place in both the half and the quarter mile. Tuttle easily won first places in the one and two mile runs.

A summary of the events follows: 100 yard dash—Rackley, Wake Forest, first; Bruton, Duke, second; Ervin, Duke, third. Time 16.4.

220 yard dash—Rackley, W. F., first; Ervin, Duke, second

PARIS 3 Days

Mon., Tue., Wed. May 24-25-26

The Same Boy Who Played "Charlie's Aunt."

SYD CHAPLIN

"OH! WHAT A NURSE"

—With—

Patsy Ruth Miller

We want every man and woman at Duke to see this picture as it will directly appeal to you all.

SAVOY

A return engagement. By special request.

Monday and Tuesday, May 24-25

CECIL B. DEMILLE'S

"Production"

"THE TEN COMMANDMENTS"

No Greater Picture Was Ever Produced

Smart Shoes in All the New Styles, for Both Men and Women.

Your Patronage Appreciated

DICKEY-BOBBITT-FOSTER CO.

SERVICE SHOE STORE

103 West Main Street, Durham, N. C.

DON'T SAY ICE CREAM SAY--

Waverly
ICE CREAM
MADE ITS WAY BY THE WAY ITS MADE

IT'S PURE BECAUSE IT'S HEARTIZED

No Graduation Gift has ever been found which quite takes the place of Quality Jewelry. Come by and see our complete line.

Jones & Frasier

Quality Unquestionable Since 1887

First National Bank Building

Spalding Sporting Goods

Pollard Bros.

The Quality Hardware Store

120 West Main Street

Welcome-In

Welcomes You
With Distinguished Service and
Real Quality Food

FRATERNITY AND
SORORITY BANQUETS

SPECIAL DINNERS
AND LUNCHEONS

Budd-Piper Roofing Company

Contractors, Manufacturers, Dealers

Roofing, Water-Proofing, Sheet Metal Work
Approved Contractors for Johns-Manville Asbestos
Built-Up Roofing, Distributors for Johns-Manville Asbestos Roofing and Shingles
Durham, N. C.

Contracts Executed Anywhere in North Carolina

PATRONIZE OUR ADVERTISERS

A REPLY

(Continued from page two)

you lawyers, doctors, preachers, philosophers, farmers, bankers, teachers, stand back and let us pass! Silence, hush, hush, your knees while your better precepts! On to the Millennium! Up to the top of the world! Lead the blazes on, start an earthquake, arouse a volcano, blow up a whirwind! Boast Down goes philosophy, Law and Religion! Crash! Nature, Art and Science! Bang! Bang! Bang! and everything else is blown into smithereens! Sic semper tyranni!

We are not lawyers, but we will lay down the law; we are not preachers, but we will tell you what to believe; we are not physicians, but we will pump you full of something; we are not teachers, but we will give you some valuable information. With an impulse for our law, a whim for our god, a jacket for our leader, and a magazine for our club we will leap up and crack the skull of the Universe. That's what we do, that's who we are, those are our intentions! Intellectual hierarchies we are, cynical, dyspeptic, misanthropes, sceptics—and an intolerable nuisance to everything and everybody!

Thank! Many thanks my kind friend for the information! But O young critic mine, may I be so bold as to suggest that you have fallen into error? You mistake indignation for high criticism, you mistake vague impression for profound thought, you mistake a hazing echo for a universal confession, you mistake a scholastic squawk for the awful howling of the Anti-Christ! We are glad to see you in the House of the Law! But before you get out, listen you come to break ahead, with a sweep of your pen, the noblest profession of man, you thought to swallow a goat and you find yourself regaled by the Rock of Gibraltar, you thought to enter a rattlesnake and you find yourself in a den of roaring lions. My friend, the lawyers will stand up and declare the law long after the last pelatist sign of undergraduate reformers and Menckens-muckers has become but a feeble echo on the Winds of Time, and when the last "die hoo" can no longer be read on the mouldering tombstone of the last critic, the legal profession itself will be as alive as useful, as vigorous and as stimulating as it is today.

OPEN FORUM

(Continued from page two)

I know of, however, are the crows that feed on Mr. Mencken's corn.

Dues Known:
It grieves me sorely, Mr. Editor, to read an unjust and undeserved criticism of any of our noble professions, and it doubly grieves me when such criticism tends to lessen faith in the men who are the cornerstones of that profession.

In the last issue of THE CHRONICLE there appeared in one of its columns, The Crown Nest, three short articles entitled "Lawyers," "Preachers," and "Women" respectively. The author of these articles made it appear that lawyers, which is the first profession he discussed, work only for personal profit; that their service to humanity is a curse rather than an incentive to progress. He shamelessly and erroneously attacks the character of lawyers, and apparently, with unconscious and no forethought, describes them as deplorable money-seeking parasites. And what is even more disgraceful is his unjust accusation that

the lawyer lives off the "acts of God and general all around cussedness of the human race."

With similar irrelevant and scolding remarks he describes preachers of the gospel of Jesus Christ. Even though I consider myself a free thinker in this kind of free speech, I could hardly believe on this profession nothing short of blasphemy, ingratitude, and licentiousness. They are absolutely and entirely without foundation or justification. It may be that the writer of these articles expected no one to take them seriously, or he may have intended them merely as vulgar satire and hopeful expressions. No doubt they would have been humorous had they not been written so vulgarly and at the same time so sadly and consciously displaying the fact that he knew pitifully little of the real service and value to the world these professions.

I could not refrain, Mr. Editor, from registering my protest against such unjust accusations; they seemed to be so much out of harmony with the rest of the reading matter in THE CHRONICLE. Most obviously, it appears, that the writer of this column lamentably underestimates his responsibility; however, he seems to put unusual stress upon his inalienable rights to use the space so kindly allotted him as his personal megaphone to distribute his own narrow views upon subjects he is in no position to understand or appreciate.

It would seem, Mr. Dunbarston, from your writings, that you are living up to the first four letters of your name without exerting yourself very strenuously. I am not advising you to change your name, because I think you made a serious and thoughtful choice, but merely wish to admonish you not to write any more sensationalistic articles like the one in the last last issue of THE CHRONICLE, because I do not believe, Mr. Dunbarston, that you would want a high school or even a grammar school student to read them; they are so young and inexperienced they might be persuaded to believe that you were telling the truth.

Yours,

MARSHALL CAVELL

FIG LEAVES

(Continued from page two)

through the art of conversation which is a spontaneous flow of witty sayings. Men are extremely affected by discourses upon women, the most recent celebrated dance or event, or the topic which naturally arises, the defects and the incompleteness of the college itself. I am satisfied that to display a trace of buffoonery is far more acceptable than to intimate a desire for learning or art.

Last of all, the professor is never a man, and at no time must be treated as such. Age can never see youth, was never young itself, and is bitter cold. The professor is an unapproachable creature, for in his perfection he remains self-satisfied. Nothing but studies and critical papers hold his interest. Before I would close my discoveries, I would acquaint the reader of the foolishness to express joy at the good health of a professor, and at the cost of a finger is the hand extended in an honest handshake to one who teaches.

RED PRIAR TAP WAS PICTURED
ESQUE AFFAIR SEVEN HONORED

(Continued from page one)

with an air of uncertainty, continued on in his quest for new men.

The first man tapped was J. M. Atkins, Editor of the *Chanticleer*, manager of football, and vice-president of the Y. M. C. A.

Next in order was Purmah G. McCarty, High Point, member of the *Chanticleer* art staff and Glee Club.

Thomas S. Shinn, of Newwood, manager of the Band and member of the Blue Devil Orchestra was the third man.

Thomas E. Waga, Jr., Connolly, Springs, Editor of the *Chanticleer* was the fifth man to be tapped.

D. E. Kirkpatrick, Swepsonville, President of the Me's Association and student council was sixth.

James E. Thompson, Jr., Hallsboro, Captain of 1925-27 varsity football was the seventh man chosen.

Active members of the senior class are: W. S. Blakeney, G. B. Caldwell, E. L. Cannon, George Harris, H. B. Johnson, C. W. (Soup) Porter, and John Westbrooke. Other members at Duke are: Dr. W. P. Pew, Dr. W. H. Wannamaker, Prof. R. L. Flowers, H. J. Herzig, J. D. Johnson, M. I. Pickens, and F. M. Warner.

The entire section of seats reserved for the Juniors was decorated with red, color of the Red Priar. The eight chairs on the rear part for the active Red Priars and the introductory speaker, Mr. Herzing, bore corresponding decoration. A red coronation, the flower of the Red Priars, was pinned on each new man as he left the hall.

"Didn't sleep a wink last night!"
"What was the trouble?"
"Every time I closed off, I dreamed about my roommate's girls and—well, you just such a gentleman that I woke myself up!"
—Rice Out—

AT SOUTHGATE

MUSICALS

The girls' musical club gave a delightful program last Tuesday evening, May 11, in the parlors of Southgate. Those invited were members of the faculty and their wives, the men's musical clubs, and a few special guests.

Under the splendid direction of Miss Pauline Pitts, of the Durham School of Music, the musical club has been very active on the campus this year. This third program of the club was a fitting climax of a very successful year since it showed hard work and careful training. Several numbers of the program were contributed by courtesy of persons outside the club.

After the program a charming social hour was enjoyed. Orange punch and cakes were served while music was furnished by the men's mandolin club. The program given follows:

Jays of Spring (Gethel), Glee Club.

Your Smile (Smith), Miss Lella Hub-

Bar

Violin solo (selected), Mr. Gordon Martin.

Roses Everywhere (Drama), Glee Club (accompanied by Mr. Funk).

Romance (Kuhnstein), Miss Alice Baldwin.

Singing to You (Kerr), Miss Edna Widenhouse.

Reading (selected), Miss Amy Wilson Childs.

Campus Songs, Men's Quartet.

A Spirit Flower (Campbell-Tipton), Miss Alice Herman.

Chain of Daisies (Wiedt), Stringed Instrument Club.

Sing We and Chant It (Harris), Glee Club.

Accompagnists—Miss Ruth Dallas, Miss Juanita Smith, Miss Frances Holmes, Miss Carolyn Shooter, Miss Lillian Zachary.

Director—Miss Pauline Pitts.

ARE YOU—

Always sure that your hair is cut just right and the way that becomes you most?

If you are then we do your barber work for the

FIRST NATIONAL BARBER SHOP

Always satisfies

First National Bank Bldg.

Native Veal
and Pork

CHOICE MEATS

Western Beef

Warren & Albright

CITY MARKET

Phone J-361

PROMPT DELIVERY TO ALL PARTS OF THE CITY

FANCY ICES

BLOCK CREAM

"BLUE RIBBON ICE CREAM"

Durham Ice Cream Co.

We Make any Color Schemes for
Frat and Sorority Banquets

Phones L-963

MAIN AT DUKE

PUNCHES

SHERBETS

A Clothing Advertisement
Without A Picture

There is much more to STETSON 'D' CLOTHES than any picture could convey. The usual phrases about assortments, styles, colors, etc., do not adequately carry the message.

We Make All The Clothes We Sell

And We Sell All The Clothes We Make

A condition which permits us to produce fine garments for the college man.

To Your Measure

Suit or Topcoat \$29.50 and \$34. 50 Suit or Topcoat

Our next showing will be held at

THE DOPE SHOP

"NATIONALLY KNOWN"

Stetson

"JUSTLY FAMOUS"

BALTIMORE
TEN DAY DELIVERY GUARANTEED

ALWAYS

THE SERVICE THAT PLEASES.

You will find the best of anything that is sold in a good drug store.

Brewer's Drug Store

"Your hangout"

"The fountain of Duke"

W. DURHAM

SUCCESSORS TO E. R. Thomas & Sons

NORTH CAROLINA

170
TOUND
trip to
EUROPE

With college parties on famous "O" steamers of The Royal Mail Line

University Tours with College Credits
DURHAM, N. C. OFFICE, ROOM 20
DURHAM, N. C.
170 TOUND TRIP TO EUROPE
THE ROYAL MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY
25 RIVERVIEW, NEW YORK

Duke University

TRINITY COLLEGE

Founded 1886—Chartered 1899
DURHAM, N. C.

The following groups of study are offered: General; Business Administration; Religious Training; Engineering; Pre-Medical; Teaching; Pre-Legal; Graduate Courses in all departments.

Schools of ENGINEERING, EDUCATION and LAW.

For Catalogue, address

R. L. FLOWERS, Secretary

LAMBE-BURCH-BOWEN CO.

Clothiers, Hatters and
Furnishers

119 WEST MAIN STREET

The Orpheum

MUSICAL COMEDY AND VAUDEVILLE

Always a Good Show Poppy Jazz Orchestra

Keith's Vaudeville Every

MONDAY AND TUESDAY

3 Shows daily—5 Shows Saturdays and Holidays

What Ever You Want In Students Supplies

YOU CAN ALWAYS GET
AT

The Dope Shop

Basement West Duke

FOUNTAIN
SERVICE

"A LA"

"DUKES AND
DUCHESSES"

Brunswick Phonographs and Records

No Fraternity Home is
Complete Without Them

Christian & Harward

106 W. Main St.

Phone J-1951

Owl Pharmacy

OPPOSITE CAMPUS

Just a Step

DUKE UNIVERSITY

STATIONERY

AT ACTUAL COST—

BUY IT TODAY

THE OLD HAMPSHIRE KIND

Look for our everyday specials. We will save
you money.

MUSICAL FRATERNITY ELECTS NEW MEMBERS

Lambda Phi Gamma, national fraternity on the campus, announces the election of the following new members: J. R. and Parman McLarty, Jas. Tremblay, John Burwell, Stanton W. Pickens, R. L. Hatcher, Jr., C. W. Porter, Jack Caldwell, Wm. Nicholson, Chas. Miller, L. S. Ivey, Ben Atkins, Thos. Shinn, Rich and Salisbury, Bob Ruark and W. V. Sprinkle.

The chapter here was established in 1923. Old members now on the campus are: Frank Warner, Sam Ruark, Clarence James, H. A. Span, and John Westbrook. Only men from the three upper classes are eligible to membership in the order.

BOYD ANNOUNCES STRONG ADDITION IN HISTORY DEPT.

(Continued from page one)

translation of Manuel Ugarte's "Destiny of a Continent," a penetrating Argentine criticism of Latin American politics. He has also recently published a work on the relations of the United States and Mexico, the most comprehensive study yet made in that field—a book which is now receiving wide publicity in the reviews. Dr. Rippy is also announced by a New York publisher as the chosen author of a history of Hispanic America to be issued in the near future. His coming to Duke is welcomed as an indication that this University will henceforth be a center for Hispanic American studies.

Dr. Rippy is a Southerner, a native of Texas, a graduate of Southwestern University, a master of Vanderbilt, as well as a Ph. D. of California. Next year he will give courses IX, XIII, and XXI in the department of history.

DR. A. T. MASON'S BOOK IS PRAISED

(Continued from page one)

led groups in society." Many difficult problems affecting organized labor "are thrust upon our courts for solution. The legal and social education and the individual philosophy of the judge must needs influence his decision on these points, as must also the changing trends and currents of public opinion. The author's treatment of the work of the courts in cases affecting labor is fair and impartial. He points out both the early conservatism of the courts and the later exaggerated criticisms to which they have been subjected. Dr. Mason's analysis of the Sherman and Clayton Acts, as applied to labor organizations is a masterly one, and gives us the most accurate and impartial treatment which the subject has yet received."

ALUMNI DAY PLANS PART OF COMMENCEMENT PROGRAM

(Continued from page one)

Goodell in Craven Memorial Hall, at 9:30 p. m.

Monday, June 7

Meeting of the alumni council in Columbia Literary society hall, at 10:30 a. m.

Meeting of the alumnae council in Southgate hall, at 10:30 a. m.

Luncheon in honor of members of the board of trustees, the alumnae council, and the alumni council, in the Washington Duke hotel, at 1:30 p. m.

Meeting of the board of trustees, in Hesperia Literary society hall, at 9:30 p. m.

Wiley Gray Declamation contest and graduating orations in Craven Memorial hall, at 8:30 p. m.

Tuesday, June 8

Registration of returning alumni and alumnae at the alumni office, East Duke building, at 9:00 a. m.

Baccalaureate sermon by Dr. Tuttle, in Craven Memorial hall, at 11:00 a. m.

Alumni parade, at 12:45 p. m.

Alumni dinner in gymnasium and address by Dr. William A. Lambeth, of the class of 1907, of Washington, D. C., at 1:00 p. m.

Class convales of alumni, at 4:00 p. m.

Reunion class dinners, at 6:00 p. m.

Reception in honor of the graduating class and returning alumni and alumnae, in East Duke hall, at 9:00 p. m.

Wednesday, June 9

Commencement address by Senator Robinson, and the conferring of degrees, in Craven Memorial hall at 11:00 a. m.

Lowering of the class flag at sunset and official closing of the academic year for 1925-26, at 7:25 p. m.

The Duke band will remain over for the commencement to make music for the alumni parade and for other alumni activities.

170 Tourist
third cabin
to EUROPE
With college parties on
famous "Q" steamers of
The Royal Mail Line
Write for Illustrated Booklet
Packet Company
28 Broadway, New York
The Royal Mail Steam

When it's the night of
the season's most festive dance—
and Mimi, herself, has consented
to go—when in a last moment
before starting you thank
your good fortune
—have a Camel!



Into the making of this one cigarette goes all of the ability of the world's largest organization of expert tobacco men. Nothing is too good for Camels. The choicest Turkish and Domestic tobaccos. The most skillful blending. The most scientific packaging. No other cigarette made is like Camels. No better cigarette can be made. Camels are the overwhelming choice of experienced smokers.

WHEN the night of the famous prom has come—and you contemplate your luck and your greatness—have a Camel! For Camel adds of its own romance to every memorable event. Camels never tire the taste, never leave a cigarette aftertaste. When you light a Camel, you may know you are smoking the world's mellowest cigarette.

So this night, as you fare boldly forth to society's smartest and gayest affair—learn then how sympathetic, how really fine and friendly a cigarette can be. Have a Camel!



One lighter with it you do not yet know Camel quality. It that you are alone. It's a little you to compare Camels with any cigarette made at any price. L. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company



© 1926

\$300 in cash prizes
to college students only, for the best
"copy" advertising the wonderful new

Palm Beach Patterns
for young men

28 CHANCES TO WIN!

- First prize \$100
- Second prize \$50
- Third prize \$25
- 25 prizes of \$5

Ideas will count more than words. 100 words is the limit. Cleverness will help, but ads must be true and in keeping with the quality of Palm Beach Cloth. Contest closes June 30, 1926. Ask your dealer to show you the new shades and patterns. Then send your suggestion, and your home address to the

AD CONTEST COMMITTEE, PALM BEACH MILLS, GOODALL WORSTED COMPANY, SANFORD, MAINE.



In event of tie, the full award will be made in each case